

LISTS OF SPECIES

Fish, Machado River basin, Cacoal urban area, state of Rondônia, Brazil.

Leane Perin¹
Oscar Akio Shibatta²
Paulo Sérgio Bernarde³

¹Laboratório de Ecologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências Biomédicas de Cacoal - FACIMED, CEP 78976-005, Cacoal, RO, Brazil.

²Departamento de Biologia Animal e Vegetal, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Londrina - UEL, CEP 86051-990, Londrina, PR, Brazil. E-mail: shibatta@uel.br.

³Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Natureza, Campus Floresta, Universidade Federal do Acre - UFAC, CEP 69980-000, Cruzeiro do Sul, AC, Brazil.

Abstract

The Pirarara and Tamarupa rivers are two urban tributaries of the Machado River in Cacoal municipality, state of Rondônia (RO), Brazil. Here we report a list of species of fishes from urban areas of Cacoal, being the first ichthyological study in this region. Field work at Cacoal was carried out from November 2004 until July 2005, using gill nets, casting nets, and long lines. Two hundred and twenty-two specimens were collected, and are distributed in 48 species, 14 families and 4 orders. The most representative order was Characiformes, with seven families and 23 species, followed by Siluriformes, with three families and 20 species.

Introduction

The Pirarara and Tamarupa rivers are two urban tributaries of the Machado River in Cacoal municipality, state of Rondônia, Brazil. When compared to southern and southeastern regions, relatively few studies on fishes were published in the Amazon, especially in the Rondônia State (e.g. Santos 1987; Barthem 2001). Among the causes that may be restraining the occurrence of certain fish species in urbanized environment, we have the removal of riparian forest, effluent discharges, channel alteration and introduction of exotics species (e.g. Barthem 2001; Oliveira and Bennemann 2005). Here we report a list of species

of fishes from urban areas of Cacoal (RO), being the first study of the kind in the region.

Materials and methods

The survey of the fishes was carried out in the Pirarara and Tamarupa rivers, affluents of the Machado River, city of Cacoal (11°26'S, 61°26'W), state of Rondônia, Brazil (Figure 1). The region was covered by tropical rainforests ("Amazônia" *sensu* Ab'Saber 1977), but during the 1980s the greatest human occupation of RO along the BR-364 highway caused progressive deforestation (Vanzolini 1986; Kemper 2002).




Figure 1. South America, showing the situation of state of Rondônia and Cacoal municipality.

Field work at Cacoal was made from November 2004 until July 2005, using gill nets, casting nets, and long lines. The identification of species followed Eigenmann (1917; 1921), Pearson (1924), Lauzane and Loubens (1989) and Lima et al. (2005). Classification of species is presented according to Reis et al. (2003) except Serrasalminae, considered as subfamily of Characidae in that study. Voucher specimens were deposited in the fish collection of Museu de Zoologia (MZUEL), Departamento de Biologia Animal e Vegetal, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Londrina (UEL), state of Paraná, Brazil.

Results and discussion

Two hundred and twenty-two specimens were collected, and are distributed in four orders, 14 families and 48 species (Table 1). The most representative order was Characiformes, with seven families and 23 species, followed by Siluriformes, with three families and 20 species.

LISTS OF SPECIES

Table 1. Species captured in the Pirarara and Tamarupa rivers, city of Cacoal (RO, Brazil).

Order/ Family/ Species	Pirarara	Tamarupa
Order Characiformes		
Family Parodontidae		
<i>Parodon buckleyi</i> Boulenger, 1887	-	+
Family Curimatidae		
<i>Cyphocharax spiluroopsis</i> (Eigenmann & Eigenmann, 1889)	+	+
<i>Steindachnerina argentea</i> (Gill, 1858)	-	+
<i>Steindachnerina fasciata</i> (Vari & Géry, 1985)	+	+
<i>Steindachnerina leucisca</i> (Günther, 1868)	+	-
Family Prochilodontidae		
<i>Prochilodus nigricans</i> Agassiz, 1829	-	+
Family Anostomidae		
<i>Leporinus friderici</i> (Bloch, 1794)	-	+
<i>Leporinus granti</i> Eigenmann, 1912	+	+
<i>Leporinus</i> sp.	+	-
Family Characidae		
<i>Astyanax</i> aff. <i>bimaculatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1781)	+	+
<i>Astyanax</i> sp.	+	+
<i>Brachychalcinus retrospina</i> Boulenger, 1892	+	+
<i>Brycon melanopterus</i> (Cope, 1872)	+	+
<i>Bryconops</i> cf. <i>caudimaculatus</i> (Günther, 1864)	+	-
<i>Cynopotamus</i> cf. <i>amazonus</i> (Günther, 1868)	+	+
<i>Charax</i> sp.	-	+
<i>Creagrutus anary</i> Fowler, 1913	-	+
<i>Moenkhausia spiluroopsis</i> (Günther, 1869)	-	+
<i>Tetragonopterus argenteus</i> Cuvier, 1816	+	+
Family Serrasalminidae		
<i>Serrasalmus humeralis</i> Valenciennes, 1850	+	+
<i>Serrasalmus rhombeus</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)	+	+
Family Acestrorhynchidae		
<i>Acestrorhynchus falcatus</i> (Bloch, 1794)	-	+
Family Erythrinidae		
<i>Hoplias malabaricus</i> (Bloch, 1794)	-	+
Order Siluriformes		
Family Callichthyidae		
<i>Megalechis personata</i> (Ranzani, 1841)	-	+
Family Loricariidae		
<i>Ancistrus</i> sp.1	-	+
<i>Ancistrus</i> sp.2	-	+
<i>Ancistrus</i> sp.3	+	+
<i>Ancistrus</i> sp.4	+	+
<i>Hypostomus</i> aff. <i>cochliodon</i> Kner, 1854	+	+
<i>Hypostomus</i> sp.1	+	+
<i>Hypostomus</i> sp.2	-	+
<i>Hypostomus</i> sp.3	+	+
<i>Loricaria</i> sp.	+	+
<i>Rineloricaria</i> sp.1	+	-
<i>Rineloricaria</i> sp.2	-	+
<i>Sturisoma</i> cf. <i>nigrostrum</i> Fowler, 1940	+	+
<i>Peckoltia</i> aff. <i>arenaria</i> (Eigenman & Allen, 1942)	+	+

LISTS OF SPECIES

Family Heptapteridae		
<i>Pimelodella</i> sp.1	+	+
<i>Pimelodella</i> sp.2	+	-
<i>Pimelodella</i> sp.3	+	+
<i>Rhamdia quelen</i> (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)	-	+
Family Pimelodidae		
<i>Pimelodus</i> aff. <i>albofasciatus</i> Mees, 1974	+	+
<i>Pimelodus ornatus</i> Kner, 1858	-	+
Order Gymnotiformes		
Family Gymnotidae		
<i>Gymnotus</i> cf. <i>carapo</i> Linnaeus, 1758	-	+
Order Perciformes		
Family Cichlidae		
<i>Cichlasoma boliviense</i> Kullander, 1983	+	+
<i>Satanoperca</i> cf. <i>papaterra</i> (Heckel, 1840)	+	+
<i>Crenicichla johana</i> Heckel, 1840	-	+
<i>Crenicichla</i> sp.	+	+
Total richness	30	43

Few fish surveys were conducted in the rio Madeira basin, but studies made in the rio Mamoré basin revealed 280 (Lauzanne and Loubens 1985) to 329 species (Sarmiento 2000). In the protected area of Río Beni, Sarmiento (2000) found 211 species. Therefore, the number of species observed in this study is a small parcel of the total richness of species in the basin. Maybe it is consequence of small size of sampled rivers, habitat degradation by organic pollution of their waters, and like in other Amazon regions (Barthem 2001), by marginal deforestation.

Tamarupa river had the major number of species (43), or 89.6 % of the total collected species (= 48). Although the differences in composition of species between Pirarara and Tamarupa rivers, the number of orders were quite similar (Pirarara had missing only Gymnotiformes).

From the total of species, 89.6 % in Tamarupa and 92.9% in Pirarara were from the orders Characiformes and Siluriformes, a common tendency in Neotropical rivers (Lowe-McConnell 1999) (Table 2).

Table 2. Proportions of species per Order in Tamarupa and Pirarara rivers, and general (Tamarupa + Pirarara).

Ordem	Tamarupa	%	Pirarara	%	General (Tamarupa + Pirarara)	%
Characiformes	20	46,5	14	50	23	47,9
Siluriformes	18	41,9	12	42,9	20	41,7
Gymnotiformes	1	2,3	0	0	1	2,1
Perciformes	4	9,3	2	7,1	4	8,3
Total	43	100	28	100	48	100

The richest group of species was Loricariidae in both rivers, maybe in consequence of deforestation and effluent discharge that allow more illumination and organic matter to algae growth. The diet of Loricariidae species is constituted mainly by algae that are grazed from

rock beds. Another group benefited by the input of organic matter is the detritivorous Curimatidae and Prochilodontidae. These rivers are depleted of native vegetation in the shores and polluted by cesspool and varied materials that are discarded to the riverbeds, affecting the local ichthyofauna.

LISTS OF SPECIES

Several species have widespread distribution in the Amazon basin. The species *Tetragonopterus argenteus* for instance, is also presented in Tocantins, Mearim and Paraná-Paraguai rivers basins. The species of *Hoplias* is tentatively identified as *H. malabaricus* that, in fact, represent a complex of species collected in all hydrographic basins of the Neotropical region above La Plata basin. Other species with large distribution in the Amazon basin are: *Steindachnerina leucisca*, *Prochilodus nigricans*, *Leporinus friderici*, *Astyanax* aff. *bimaculatus*, *Brycon melanopterus*, *Serrasalmus humeralis*, *S. rhombeus*, *Acestrorhynchus falcatus* and *Rhamdia*

quelen. In the other hand, *Parodon buckleyi* has a restricted area of distribution, occurring only in the headwaters of Napo/Ucayali and Madeira/Mamoré rivers (Pavanelli pers. com.). *Steindachnerina fasciata* and *Creagrutus anary* have type-locality in Madeira River.

Seventeen species can be new (*Leporinus* sp., *Astyanax* sp., *Charax* sp., *Ancistrus* sp1 to 4, *Hypostomus* sp1 to 3, *Loricaria* sp., *Rineloricaria* sp.1 and 2, *Pimelodella* sp.1 to 3, *Crenicichla* sp.), but only a more profound study, with revision of species in each genus, can confirm this suspicion.

Literature cited

- Ab'Saber, A. N. 1977. Os domínios morfoclimáticos da América do Sul. Boletim do Instituto de Geografia da Universidade de São Paulo 52: 1-21.
- Barthen, R. B. 2001. Componente biota aquática. Pp. 60–78. In J. P. R. Capobianco (org.), Biodiversidade na Amazônia brasileira. São Paulo: Estação Liberdade e Instituto Socioambiental.
- Eigenmann, C. H. 1917. *Pimelodella* and *Typhlobagrus*. Memoirs of the Carnegie Museum 7(4): 229-258.
- Eigenmann, C. H. 1921. The American Characidae. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 43 (3): 209-310.
- Goulding, M. 1979. Ecologia da pesca do rio Madeira. Manaus: INPA. 172 p.
- Kemper, L. 2002. Cacoal, sua história, sua gente. Goiânia: Grafopel Graf. Ed. Ltda.
- Lauzanne, L. and G. Loubens. 1985. Peces del rio Mamoré. Paris: Éditions L'Orstom. 116 p.
- Lima, F. C. T., L. Ramos, T. Barreto, A. Cabalzar, G. Tenório, A. Barbosa, F. Tenório, and A. S. Resende. 2005. Peixe e Gente no Alto rio Tiquié. São Paulo: Instituto Socioambiental. 339 p.
- Lowe-McConnell, R. H. 1999. Estudos ecológicos de Comunidades de peixes tropicais. São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo. 534 p.
- Oliveira, D. C. and S. T. Bennemann. 2005. Ictiofauna, recursos alimentares e relações com as interferências antrópicas em um riacho urbano no Sul do Brasil. Biota Neotropica 5: 1-13.
- Pearson, N. E. 1924. The fishes of the rio Beni basin, Bolivia, collected by the Mulford expedition. Indiana University Studies 11 (64): 1-83.
- Reis, R. E., S. O. Kullander, and C. J. Ferraris Jr. (org.) 2003. Check list of the freshwater fishes of South and Central America. EDIPUCRS. 742 p.
- Santos, M. 1987. Composição dos pescados e situação da pesca no Estado de Rondônia. Acta Amazônica 16/17: 43-48.
- Sarmiento, J. 2000. Observaciones preliminares sobre la composición y distribución de la ictiofauna de la Estación Biológica del Beni, Bolivia. Pp. 129-150. In O. Herrera-MacBryde, F. Dallmeier, B. MacBryde, J. A. Comiskey, and C. Miranda (ed.), Biodiversidad, conservación y manejo en la region de la Reserva de la Biosfera Estación Biológica del Beni, Bolivia. SI/MAB Series N° 4. Washington: Smithsonian Institution.
- Vanzolini, P. E. 1986. Levantamento herpetológico da área do Estado de Rondônia sob a influência da rodovia Br-364. Polonoroeste/Ecologia Animal. Relatório de Pesquisa nº1. Brasília: CNPq, 50 p.

Received October 2006

Accepted April 2007

Published online April 2007